arXiv:1605.06323v2 [math.GN] 22 Aug 2016

GENERIC NORMS AND METRICS ON COUNTABLE ABELIAN GROUPS

MICHAL DOUCHA

Abstract. For a countable abelian group G we investigate the generic properties of the space of all invariant metrics on G. We prove that for every such an unbounded group G, i.e. group which has elements of arbitrarily high order, there is a dense set of invariant metrics on G with which it is isometric to the rational Urysohn space, and a comeager set of invariant metrics such that the completion is isometric to the Urysohn space. This generalizes results of Cameron and Vershik, Niemiec, and the author.

Then we prove that for every G such that $G \cong \bigoplus_{\mathbb{N}} G$ there is a comeager set of invariant metrics on G such that all of them give rise to the same metric group after completion. If moreover G is unbounded, then using a result of Melleray and Tsankov we get that the completion is extremely amenable. Finally, we observe that all the known universal abelian Polish groups, e.g. the Shkarin's group, are extremely amenable.

Introduction

For an unbounded countable abelian group G, J. Melleray and T. Tsankov prove in [9] that the set of all invariant metrics on G with which it is extremely amenable is comeager in the Polish space of all invariant metrics on G. That result motivated the work presented in this paper. We focus on two main themes:

Groups isometric to the Urysohn space. In [2], Cameron and Vershik prove that there is an invariant metric on $\mathbb{Z}$ with which it is isometric to the rational Urysohn space. In particular, the completion is isometric to the Urysohn space and thus the Urysohn space has a structure of a monothetic abelian group. Niemiec in [10] prove that the Shkarin's universal abelian Polish group is isometric to the Urysohn space and its canonical countable dense subgroup (which is $\bigoplus_{\mathbb{N}} \mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z}$)

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* Primary 22A05, 03E15; Secondary 20K99.

Key words and phrases. countable abelian groups, generic norms, invariant metrics, extremely amenable groups, universal abelian groups, Urysohn space.

The author was supported by the region Franche-Comté.

is isometric to the rational Urysohn space. Here we generalize these results by proving that actually every unbounded countable abelian group, i.e. group having elements of arbitrarily high order, admits an invariant metric with which it is isometric to the rational Urysohn space. In particular, this covers the cases of $\mathbb{Z}$ and $\bigoplus_{\mathbb{N}} \mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z}$. We prove something more general stated in the theorem below.

Theorem 0.1. *For any unbounded countable abelian group G, the set of all invariant metrics with which it is isometric to the rational Urysohn space is dense in the space of all invariant metrics on G. Moreover, the set of all invariant metrics on G with which the completion is isometric to the Urysohn space is dense G_δ.*

Generic metrics. We motivate the next topic by the following facts. We recall that in the Polish space of all countable graphs, those that are isomorphic to the random graph form a comeager subset. One cannot expect literally the same property for a metric structure, however it is true that in the Polish space of all metrics on a countable set, those whose completion is isometric to the Urysohn universal space form a comeager set. Analogously, one can show that in the Polish space of all norms on the countable infinite-dimensional vector space over $\mathbb{Q}$, those whose completion is isometric to the Gurarij space ([5]) form a comeager set.

Our aim is to find generic metrics on countable abelian groups. There are of course many countable abelian groups to consider. However, we can prove the following result.

Theorem 0.2. *Let G be a countable abelian group such that $G \cong \bigoplus_{\mathbb{N}} G$. Then there is a comeager set of invariant metrics on G such that all of them give rise to the same metric group after the completion.*

Theorem 0.2 raises the question on the number of generic abelian Polish metric groups. That is, one may ask whether there is a single abelian Polish metric group $\mathbb{G}$ such that for every countable abelian group G with the property that $G \cong \bigoplus_{\mathbb{N}} G$, the completion of G with respect to the generic metric provided by Theorem 0.2 is isometrically isomorphic to $\mathbb{G}$. We shall later see that it is not the case.

When the group G is moreover unbounded we get the following.

Corollary 0.3. *Let G be an unbounded countable abelian group such that $G \cong \bigoplus_{\mathbb{N}} G$. Then there is a comeager set of invariant metrics on G such that all of them give rise to the same metric group after the completion which is isometric to the Urysohn space and extremely amenable.*

We also derive the extreme amenability of the universal Polish groups.

Corollary 0.4. *The universal abelian Polish groups of Shkarin* [13] *and the author* [3] *are extremely amenable.*

1. Definitions and preliminaries

A metric d on an abelian group G is invariant if for every $a, b, c \in G$ we have $d(a, b) = d(a+c, b+c)$. A norm on G is a function $\lambda : G \to \mathbb{R}_0^+$ from G to non-negative reals which attains zero only at $0_G \in G$ and satisfies for every $a, b \in G$, $\lambda(a) = \lambda(-a)$ and $\lambda(a + b) \leq \lambda(a) + \lambda(b)$. There is a one-to-one correspondence between invariant metrics and norms on abelian groups: for a norm λ, $d_\lambda(a, b) := \lambda(a - b)$ defines an invariant metric, and for an invariant metric d, $\lambda(a) := d(a, 0)$ defines a norm.

Consider the set of all norms, respectively invariant metrics, on some countable abelian group G. It can be viewed as a subset of $\mathbb{R}^G$, resp. $\mathbb{R}^{G\times G}$. In both cases, one can easily check it is a G_δ set, thus a Polish space (we refer the reader to [7] for facts needed about Polish spaces). It turns out it is more convenient for us to work with norms, rather than invariant metrics, so we shall do so mostly in the sequel.

Let us denote the Polish space of norms on G by $\mathcal{N}_G$. Later, when the group is known from the context, respectively it is fixed, we shall just write $\mathcal{N}$. Clearly, the space $\mathcal{N}_G$ is homeomorphic with the Polish space of all invariant metrics on G via the formula above.

The content of this paper is to investigate generic properties of the space of norms on countable abelian groups. We recall one important result of Melleray and Tsankov in that direction that we shall apply in our paper.

The "official" definition of an unbounded abelian group is postponed till later when it is used. Let us say it means the group contains elements of arbitrarily high order.

Theorem 1.1 (Melleray, Tsankov, Theorem 6.4 in [9])**.** *Let G be a countable unbounded abelian group. Then the set $\mathbb{E} = \{\lambda \in \mathcal{N}_G : (G, \lambda)$ is extremely amenable$\}$ is dense G_δ in $\mathcal{N}_G$.*

We note that Melleray and Tsankov formulated the theorem with invariant metrics rather than norms which is, as noted above, however equivalent.

Definition 1.2. Let G be an abelian group and $A \subseteq G$ a symmetric subset containing zero. A *partial norm* on A is a function $\lambda : A \to \mathbb{R}_0^+$ satisfying the following requirements:

- $\lambda(x) = 0$ iff $x = 0$, for $x \in A$,
- $\lambda(x) = \lambda(-x)$ for $x \in A$,
- $\lambda(x) \leq \sum_{i=1}^{n} \lambda(x_i)$, where n is arbitrary, $x, x_1, \ldots, x_n \in A$ and $x = \sum_{i=1}^{n} x_i$.

If λ satisfies all the conditions except the first one, then it is called a partial *seminorm*. If it satisfies the first and the second condition we call it a partial pre-norm.

Lemma 1.3. *Let G be an abelian group, $A \subseteq G$ some symmetric subset containing zero and $\lambda_A : A \to \mathbb{R}_0^+$ a partial norm on A. Then for any subset $A \subseteq B \subseteq \langle A \rangle \leq G$, where $\langle A \rangle$ is the subgroup of G generated by A, there exists a partial seminorm $\lambda_B : B \to \mathbb{R}_0^+$ which extends λ_A on A.*

In particular, if A is finite and $B = \langle A \rangle = G$, then λ_B is a norm on G extending λ_A.

Proof. Take $b \in B$ and set

$$\lambda_B(b) = \inf\{\sum_{i=1}^{n} \lambda_A(a_i) : (a_i)_{i=1}^n \subseteq A, b = \sum_{i=1}^{n} a_i\}.$$

Since $B \subseteq \langle A \rangle$, there exist $(a_i)_{i=1}^n \subseteq A$ such that $b = \sum_{i=1}^n a_i$. It directly follows from the definition that λ_B satisfies all the conditions of a partial seminorm. Moreover, if A is finite, the the infimum from the definition of λ_B might be replaced by the minimum and it follows that λ_B in that case is a partial norm. □

Remark 1.4. Notice that the partial seminorm λ_B is actually the greatest extension of λ_A; i.e. if $\lambda : B \to \mathbb{R}_0^+$ is any partial seminorm on B that extends λ_A, then $\lambda \leq \lambda_B$; and if A is finite, then λ_B is the greatest partial norm extending λ_A.

When we are given a symmetric subset $A \subset G$ containing zero of some abelian group and also some pre-norm $\rho' : A \to \mathbb{R}_0^+$ then we can get, using the same formula as in the proof above, a greatest partial seminorm determined by ρ'; or, in the case A is finite, a greatest partial norm determined by ρ'. We state that explicitly in the next fact and omit the easy proof.

Fact 1.5. *Let $A \subseteq G$ be a symmetric subset containing zero of some abelian group. Let $\rho' : A \to \mathbb{R}_0^+$ be a pre-norm. Then the formula, applied for every $x \in A$,*

$$\rho(x) = \inf\{\sum_{i=1}^{n} \rho'(x_i) : x = \sum_{i=1}^{n} x_i, (x_i)_{i-1}^n \subseteq A\}$$

gives a partial seminorm on A, resp. partial norm on A if A is finite.

In the text below we shall be interested in the possibilities how to extend partial norms. We recall the notion of a Katětov function on a metric space which corresponds, in the terminology of continuous model theory, to the quantifier-free type over a metric space. Let X be a metric space and $f : X \to \mathbb{R}_0^+$ a function. It is called *Katětov* if it satisfies for every $x, y \in X$

$$|f(x) - f(y)| \leq d_X(x, y) \leq f(x) + f(y).$$

The function f can be then viewed as a prescription of distances of a new point to the points of X in the sense that we can define a one-point extension $X \cup \{x_f\}$ and define the distance of x_f to a point $y \in X$ as $f(y)$.

We start with some algebraic definition of elements in abelian groups that have the potential to realize Katětov functions.

Definition 1.6. Let G be an abelian group and $A \subseteq G$ a symmetric subset (containing zero). Let $g \in G \setminus A$. We define the *distance* of g from A in G, denoted by $\mathrm{dist}_G(g, A)$, as the length of the shortest oriented path between g and A in the oriented Cayley graph of the group with generators $\{g\} \cup A$, i.e. there is an edge going from a to $g + a$, however there might not be an edge in the other direction.

Now let G be an abelian group, $A \subseteq G$ a finite symmetric subset containing zero and λ_A a partial norm on A. Set $\bar{A} = \{a - b : a, b \in A\}$. Note that $A \subseteq \bar{A}$ and that $\bar{A}$ is again a finite symmetric subset containing zero. Let $\bar{\lambda}_A$ be the greatest extension of λ_A onto $\bar{A}$ guaranteed by Lemma 1.3, and note that it induces a metric d_A on A defined as $d_A(a, b) = \bar{\lambda}_A(a - b)$ for $a, b \in A$. Let $f : A \to \mathbb{R}^+$ be a Katětov function defined on A with respect to the metric d_A. We are now interested whether it is possible to find an element $g \in G \setminus A$ and a partial norm λ on $\{g - a, a - g : a \in A\} \cup \bar{A}$ which extends $\bar{\lambda}_A$ and such that for every $a \in A$ we have $f(a) = \lambda(g - a)$.

Set $m = \min\{\min_{a \neq 0 \in \bar{A}} \bar{\lambda}_A(a), \min_{a \in A} f(a)\}$ and
$M = \max\{\max_{a \in \bar{A}} \bar{\lambda}_A(a), \max_{a \in A} f(a)\}$. Then we have the following proposition.

Proposition 1.7. *Suppose that there exists $g \in G \setminus \bar{A}$ such that $\mathrm{dist}(g, \bar{A}) > 2\frac{M}{m}$. Then there exists a partial norm λ on $\{g - a, a - g : a \in A\} \cup \bar{A}$ which extends $\bar{\lambda}_A$ and such that for every $a \in A$ we have $f(a) = \lambda(g - a)$.*

Proof. Set $B = \bar{A} \cup \{g - a, a - g : a \in A\}$. Note that B is also a symmetric set containing zero. Define λ on B as follows: for any $b \in B$

set

$$\lambda(b) = \begin{cases} \bar{\lambda}_A(b) & b \in \bar{A}, \\ f(x) & \text{if } b = g - x, \text{ or } b = x - g. \end{cases}$$

It suffices to check that λ is a partial norm on B. The first two conditions of the definition of a partial norm are easily checked. We claim that also the last condition is satisfied. Suppose otherwise. Then there are $b \in B$ and $(b_i)_{i=1}^n \subseteq B$ such that $b = \sum_{i=1}^n b_i$ and $\lambda(b) > \sum_{i=1}^n \lambda(b_i)$. We have that $n \leq \frac{M}{m}$ as otherwise

$$M \geq \lambda(b) > n \cdot m > \frac{M}{m} \cdot m = M,$$

a contradiction.

Moreover, without loss of generality we may also suppose that for no $i \neq j \leq n$ we have $b_i = g - a_i$ and $b_j = a_j - g$, for some $a_i, a_j \in A$. Indeed, suppose otherwise. Then since $g - a_i + a_j - g = a_j - a_i \in \bar{A}$ and the function f is Katětov we have that $\lambda(g - a_i) + \lambda(a_j - g) = f(a_i) + f(a_j) \geq d_A(a_j, a_i) = \bar{\lambda}_A(a_j - a_i)$. Thus we can replace the pairs b_i, b_j by $a_j - a_i$.

Case 1: $b \in \bar{A}$. We claim that there must be some $i \leq n$ such that b_i is equal to $g - a$ or $a - g$ for some $a \in A$. Indeed, otherwise we get into a contradiction since $\bar{\lambda}_A$ is a partial norm. By the argument in the paragraph above there is a single sign $\varepsilon \in \{1, -1\}$ such that for every $b_i \notin \bar{A}$ we have $b_i = \varepsilon \cdot g - \varepsilon \cdot a_i$ for some $a_i \in A$. Suppose that $\varepsilon = 1$, the other case is analogous. It follows that there is some $m \leq n$ such that $b = m \cdot g + \sum_{i=1}^n c_i$, where $(c_i)_{i=1}^n \subseteq \bar{A}$. Thus we get that $\operatorname{dist}(g, \bar{A}) \leq 2n < 2\frac{M}{m}$, a contradiction.

Case 2: $b = g - a$, or $b = a - g$, for some $a \in A$. Let us say $b = g - a$, the other case is analogous. Suppose at first that for all $i \leq n$ we have $b_i \in \bar{A}$. Then we again get $\operatorname{dist}(g, \bar{A}) \leq 2n < 2\frac{M}{m}$, a contradiction.

Thus we suppose that for some $i \leq n$ we have $b_i \notin \bar{A}$. As in Case 1 we may suppose that there is a single sign $\varepsilon \in \{1, -1\}$ such that for every $b_i \notin \bar{A}$ we have $b_i = \varepsilon \cdot g - \varepsilon \cdot a_i$ for some $a_i \in A$.

Suppose at first that $\varepsilon = -1$. Then there is some $m \leq n + 1$ such that $m \cdot g = \sum_{i=1}^n c_i$, where $(c_i)_{i=1}^n \subseteq \bar{A}$. Thus we again get that $\operatorname{dist}(g, \bar{A}) \leq n \leq 2\frac{M}{m}$, a contradiction..

Now suppose that $\varepsilon = 1$. Suppose without loss of generality that $b_1 = g - a_1$, for some $a_1 \in A$. Then, since $n > 1$, $\sum_{i=2}^n b_i = a_1 - a \in \bar{A}$. If for any $2 \leq i \leq n$ we have $b_i = g - a_i$, for some $a_i \in A$, then we get that $\operatorname{dist}(g, \bar{A}) < 2n \leq 2\frac{M}{m}$, a contradiction. Thus suppose that for

every $2 \leq i \leq n$ we have $b_i \in \bar{A}$. Then we claim that we may suppose that $n = 2$. Indeed, we have that $\sum_{i=2}^{n} b_i = a_1 - a \in \bar{A}$ and thus $\bar{\lambda}_A(a_1 - a) \leq \sum_{i=2}^{n} \bar{\lambda}_A(b_i)$. So we are left with the case that

$$\lambda(g-a) = f(a) > \lambda(g-a_1) + \lambda(a_1 - a) = f(a_1) + \bar{\lambda}_A(a_1 - a) =$$

$$f(a_1) + d_A(a_1, a),$$

which is again a contradiction with the fact that f is Katětov. □

Definition 1.8. We shall call an abelian group G unbounded if it either contains an element of infinite order or it contains elements of arbitrarily high finite orders.

Lemma 1.9. *Let G be an unbounded abelian group, $A \subseteq G$ a finite symmetric subset containing zero and $R > 0$ a real number. Then there exists $g \in G$ such that* $\mathrm{dist}(g, A) > R$.

Proof. Set $B = \{n_1 \cdot a_1 + \ldots + n_i \cdot a_i : a_1, \ldots, a_i \in A, n_1, \ldots, n_i \geq 0, n_1 + \ldots + n_i \leq R\}$. Note that B is again finite symmetric and containing zero. It suffices to show that there is $g \in G$ such that $n \cdot g \notin B$, for every $0 < n \leq R$.

If there is $b \in B$ such that b has infinite order, then it clearly suffices to take $N \cdot b$, for N sufficiently large, as g. So suppose that every $b \in B$ has finite order. Let N be the maximum of orders of elements from B. Suppose there is no such $g \in G$, thus for every $g \in G$ there are $n \leq R$ and $b \in B$ such that $n \cdot g = b$. However, then follows that the order of every $g \in G$ is bounded by $R \cdot N$. That is a contradiction with unboundedness of G. □

2. Groups isometric to the rational Urysohn space

We recall here that the Urysohn universal metric space $\mathbb{U}$ is the unique Polish metric space (i.e. complete and separable) satisfying the property that a partial isometry between two finite subsets extends to an autoisometry of the whole space. It was constructed by Urysohn in [14]. We refer to Chapter 5 in [12] for more information about this space.

The Urysohn space has one distinguished countable dense set which is called *the rational Urysohn space* and denoted by $\mathbb{QU}$. It is the unique countable metric space with rational distances that again has the property that any partial isometry between two finite subsets extends to an autoisometry of the whole space. The following well-known fact gives another characterization of $\mathbb{QU}$ which we will use.

Fact 2.1. *Let X be a countable metric space with rational distances. Then X is isometric to $\mathbb{QU}$ iff for every finite subset $F \subseteq X$ and every rational Katětov function $f : F \to \mathbb{Q}$ there exists $x \in X$ realizing f, i.e. $\forall y \in F(d(x, y) = f(y))$.*

Lemma 2.2. *Let G be an abelian group, $F \subseteq G$ a finite symmetric subset containing zero and let ρ be a partial norm on F. Then for any $\varepsilon > 0$ there exists a rational partial norm ρ_R on F such that for any $f \in F$ we have $|\rho(f) - \rho_R(f)| < \varepsilon$.*

Proof. Enumerate F as $(f_i)_{i \leq n}$ such that for $i < j \leq n$ we have $\rho(f_i) \geq \rho(f_j)$, and $f_n = 0$. Let $\delta = \min\{\varepsilon, \min\{|\rho(f) - \rho(g)| : f, g \in F, \rho(f) \neq \rho(g)\}\}$. Moreover, choose positive rational numbers $(r_i)_{i<n}$ such that

- for any $i, j < n$ if $\rho(f_i) = \rho(f_j)$, then $r_i = r_j$;
- for any $i < n$ we have $i\varepsilon/n > r_i - \rho(f_i) > (i-1)\varepsilon/n$.

Now for $i < n$ we define $\rho_R(f_i) = r_i$, and for $f_n = 0$ we set $\rho_R(f_n) = 0$. We claim ρ_R is as desired. Clearly, it is rational and for any $i \leq n$ we have $\varepsilon > \rho_R(f_i) - \rho(f_i) \geq 0$. If we check that ρ_R is a partial norm then we will be done.

First, for any $i \leq n$ we have $\rho_R(f_i) = 0$ iff $f_i = f_n = 0$, and also for any $i < j < n$, if $f_i = -f_j$ then $\rho_R(f_i) = \rho_R(f_j)$. So it remains to check that for any $i_1, \ldots, i_k, i < n$ such that $f_i = f_{i_1} + \ldots + f_{i_k}$ we have $\rho_R(f_i) \leq \rho_R(f_{i_1}) + \ldots + \rho_R(f_{i_k})$. If for some $j \leq k$ we have that $i_j \leq i$, then $\rho(f_{i_j}) \geq \rho(f_i)$, thus also by the choice of r_i's, $\rho_R(f_{i_j}) \geq \rho_R(f_i)$, and there is nothing to prove. So suppose that for all $j \leq k$ we have $i < i_j$. Then since $\rho_R(f_i) - \rho(f_i) > \rho_R(f_{i_j}) - \rho(f_{i_j}) > 0$, for all $j \leq k$, and since $\rho(f_i) \leq \rho(f_{i_1}) + \ldots + \rho(f_{i_k})$, we are done. □

Theorem 2.3. *Let G be a countable unbounded abelian group. Then there exists a norm λ on G such that (G, λ) is isometric to the rational Urysohn space.*

Moreover, the set of all norms on G with which it is isometric to the rational Urysohn space is dense.

Proof. The proof uses Lemmas 1.9 and 1.3, and Proposition 1.7 and follows the standard construction of the rational Urysohn space. Enumerate G as $\{g_n : n \in \mathbb{N}\}$ and let $\{(A_i, f_i) : i \in \mathbb{N}\}$ be an enumeration with infinite repetition of all pairs (A, f), where A is a finite rational metric space and $f : A \to \mathbb{Q}$ a rational Katětov function over A.

By induction, we shall produce finite symmetric sets F_i, $i \in \mathbb{N}_0$, containing zero, with partial rational norm λ_i on F_i such that for every n, $F_n \subseteq F_{n+1}$ and $\lambda_n \subseteq \lambda_{n+1}$, $G = \bigcup_n F_n$, and such that G with the metric induced by the norm $\lambda = \bigcup_n \lambda_n$ is isometric to the rational

Urysohn space.

Set $F_0 = \{0\}$ and λ_0 be the trivial norm on F_0. Now suppose that that for some n even, F_n and λ_n on F_n have been defined. We define F_{n+1} and λ_{n+1}. Take the element $g = g_{n/2+1}$. If $g \in F_n$ then we do nothing, i.e. set $F_{n+1} = F_n$ and $\lambda_{n+1} = \lambda_n$. So suppose that $g \notin F_n$. We set $F_{n+1} = F_n \cup \{g, -g\}$. We need to extend λ_n. We distinguish cases:

- $g \in \langle F_n \rangle$: then we use Lemma 1.3 to extend the partial norm λ_n on F_n to a partial norm λ_{n+1} on F_{n+1},
- $g \notin \langle F_n \rangle$: then we can set
  (1) $\lambda_{n+1}(a) = \lambda_n(a)$ if $a \in F_n$,
  (2) set m to be the minimal positive integer such that for some $f \in F_n$, $m \cdot g = f$; if no such m exists (in particular, g has an infinite order), or $f = 0$, then we set $\lambda_{n+1}(a) = \lambda_{n+1}(-a) = 1$; otherwise, we set $\lambda_{n+1}(a) = \lambda_{n+1}(-a) = \lambda_n(f)/m$.

  In any case, it is easy to check that λ_{n+1} is a partial norm on F_{n+1}.

Now suppose that for some n odd, F_n and λ_n on F_n have been defined. Set $G_n = \bar{F}_n = \{a-b : a, b \in F_n\}$ and extend λ_n to ρ_n on G_n by Lemma 1.3. Then ρ_n induces a metric d_n on F_n, as usual, by $d_n(a, b) = \rho_n(a-b)$, for $a, b \in F_n$.

Set $(A, f) = (A_n, f_n)$. If there is no subset of (F_n, d_n) isometric to A then do nothing and set $F_{n+1} = F_n$ and $\lambda_{n+1} = \lambda_n$. Otherwise, take some subset $B \subseteq F_n$ isometric to A and consider f to be defined on $B \cong_{\text{iso}} A$. We can clearly extend f to the whole F_n, still denoted by f, so that it is still Katětov. Just set for instance $f(x) = \min\{f(a) + d_n(a, x) : a \in B\}$, for $x \in F_n$.

Set $m = \min\{\min_{x \neq 0 \in G_n} \rho_n(x), \min_{x \in F_n} f(x)\}$ and
$M = \max\{\max_{x \in G_n} \rho_n(x), \max_{x \in F_n} f(x)\}$. By Lemma 1.9 we can find some element $g \in G$ such that $\operatorname{dist}(g, G_n) > 2\frac{M}{m}$. Then by Proposition 1.7 we can extend G_n to $F'_{n+1} = G_n \cup \{g - a, a - g : a \in F_n\}$ and ρ_n to a partial norm λ'_{n+1} on F'_{n+1} such that $f(x) = \lambda'_{n+1}(g - x)$ for every $x \in F_n$. If B was the only subset of (F_n, d_n) isometric to A then we are done and set $F_{n+1} = F'_{n+1}$ and $\lambda_{n+1} = \lambda'_{n+1}$. Otherwise, we repeat the same procedure. Note that there could be at most finitely many subsets of (F_n, d_n) that are isometric to A, thus we are finished after finitely many repetitions of the procedure.

When the induction is finished we have that $G = \bigcup_n F_n$ since at the n-th step, for n even, we have guaranteed that $g_{n/2+1}$ is contained in

F_{n+1}. Moreover, G with the metric induced by the norm $\lambda = \bigcup_n \lambda_n$ is isometric to the rational Urysohn space. By Fact 2.1 it suffices to check it satisfies the rational one-point extension property. However if we take some finite $B \subseteq G$ and one-point extension determined by a rational Katětov function f on B, then we can find n such that $B \subseteq F_n$, B is isometric to A_n and f on B corresponds to f_n on A_n. Then we have guaranteed that the Katětov function is realized in F_{n+1}.

Finally, we show how to get the "moreover part" from the statement of the theorem, i.e. that the set of norms with which G is isometric to the rational Urysohn space is actually dense.

Take any finite symmetric subset $F \subseteq G$ containing zero, let ρ be an arbitrary partial norm on F and $\varepsilon > 0$ arbitrary. Then using Lemma 2.2 we get a partial rational norm ρ_R on F such that for every $f \in F$ we have $|\rho(f) - \rho_R(f)| < \varepsilon$. We just set $F_0 = F$ and $\lambda_0 = \rho_R$. Then we continue the induction as above and obtain at the end a norm λ with which G is isometric to the rational Urysohn space and is ε-close on a finite subset F to the partial norm ρ. □

Corollary 2.4. *If G is unbounded, then the set of norms $\{\lambda : \overline{(G, \lambda)}$ is isometric to $\mathbb{U}\}$ is comeager.*

Proof. Consider the set of norms λ on G satisfying the following condition:

$$\begin{aligned}(2.1)\quad &\forall \varepsilon > 0 \forall F \subseteq G \text{ finite symmetric and containing zero,}\\ &\forall f : F \to \mathbb{Q} \text{ Katětov with respect to } \bar{F}\\ &\exists g \in G \forall a \in F(|f(a) - \lambda(g - a)| < \varepsilon).\end{aligned}$$

It is well-known and straightforward to prove using standard arguments that for any λ satisfying (2.1) we have that the completion $\overline{(G, \lambda)}$ is isometric to the Urysohn space.

Moreover, an immediate computation gives that (2.1) is a G_δ condition. Any norm λ with which G is isometric to the rational Urysohn space certainly satisfies (2.1), thus it follow from Theorem 2.3 that the condition defines a dense G_δ set. □

Remark 2.5. We note that there is one example in the literature of a countable abelian group which admits a norm with which it is isometric to the rational Urysohn space, yet it is not unbounded. It is the countable Boolean group (see [11]). The case of exponent 2 is obviously special and it is open whether other bounded countable abelian groups admit such a norm (see the open problems in [10], where it is proved

that groups of exponent 3 do not admit such a norm). We conjecture that they do not.

3. Generic norms

For a norm λ on G denote by $\overline{(G,\lambda)}$ the completion. We shall call a norm λ on a countable abelian group G *generic* if the set $\{\rho \in \mathcal{N}_G : \overline{(G,\lambda)} \cong \overline{(G,\rho)}\}$ is comeager. In other words, a countable abelian group G admits a generic norm if all the norms on G except those coming from a meager set give rise to the same normed group after the completion. It follows from Theorem 1.1 that if λ is a generic norm on a countable unbounded abelian group G, then (G,λ) is extremely amenable.

Definition 3.1. Let G be a countable abelian group. We call G *infinitely-summed* if $G \cong \bigoplus_{n\in\mathbb{N}} G$.

In particular, notice that if G is infinitely-summed then it is not finitely generated.

Let G be an infinitely-summed countable abelian group. Write G as $\bigoplus_n G_n$, where for each $n \in \mathbb{N}$, $G_n \cong G$. For each $i \in \mathbb{N}$, let $\phi_i : G_1 \to G_i$ be an isomorphism. Fix some infinite generating sequence $(d'_n)_n$ of G_1 such that for every n, $d'_{n+1} \notin \langle d'_i : i \leq n\rangle$ and $G_1 = \langle d'_n : n \in \mathbb{N}\rangle$. Let $D = \bigcup_i \bigcup_n \{\phi_i(d'_n)\}$, i.e. D is the set of generators of G. Fix also an enumeration $\{d_n : n \in \mathbb{N}\}$ of D. For every set of integers (in all cases in the sequel finite) $A \subseteq \mathbb{N}$, let $F_A \leq G$ be the subgroup $\langle d_i : i \in A\rangle$. Note that for any finite $A \subseteq \mathbb{N}$ there exists disjoint finite $A' \subseteq \mathbb{N}$ and a bijection $\phi : A \to A'$ which uniquely determines an isomorphism between $\bar{\phi} : F_A \to F_{A'}$ determined by sending d_i to $d_{\phi(i)}$ for $i \in A$. Let Φ be the set of all isomorphisms between finitely generated subgroups of the form F_A and $F_{A'}$ which are determined by some bijection between A and A'. Obviously, for most choices of generating sets D, not all bijections between two finite subsets $A, A' \subseteq \mathbb{N}$ of the same size give rise to isomorphisms between F_A and $F_{A'}$, which do not have to be isomorphic at all. We write $A \equiv A'$ if there does exist a bijection between A and A' which gives rise to an isomorphism between F_A and $F_{A'}$. If we want to specify the isomorphism we write $A \equiv_\phi A'$, where ϕ is the bijection between A and A' and $\bar{\phi}$ the corresponding isomorphism between F_A and $F_{A'}$.

Also, for each finite $A \subseteq \mathbb{N}$, let $|\cdot|_A : F_A \to \mathbb{N}$ be the length function (i.e. norm) associated to the generating set $\{d_i : i \in A\}$, i.e. the distance from 0 in the graph metric of the Cayley graph of F_A with $\{d_i : i \in A\}$ as a generating set. If there is no danger of confusion then

we write just $|\cdot|$ instead of $|\cdot|_A$.

Suppose now that $A, A' \subseteq \mathbb{N}$ are two finite subsets such that $A \equiv_\phi A'$ witnessed by some $\phi \in \Phi$. Suppose also that F_A is equipped with a norm ρ and $F_{A'}$ with a norm ρ'. Then we write $(A, \rho) \equiv_{\phi,\varepsilon} (A', \rho')$ if for every $f \in F_A$ we have $|\rho(f) - \rho'(\bar{\phi}(f))| < \varepsilon \cdot |f|$.

Finally, suppose that G is equipped with a norm λ. Let again A, A' be two finite subsets of integers such that for some $\phi \in \Phi$ we have $A \equiv_\phi A'$ and let $\varepsilon > 0$. Then we write $\delta_\phi^\lambda(A, A') < \varepsilon$ if for every $a \in A$ we have $\lambda(d_a - \bar{\phi}(d_a)) = \lambda(d_a - d_{\phi(a)}) < \varepsilon$. Notice that this is equivalent to saying that for every $f \in F_A$ we have $\lambda(f - \bar{\phi}(f)) < \varepsilon \cdot |f|$. Again, we shall suppress the upper index λ from δ_ϕ^λ when it is clear from the context.

Next lemma shows that the conditions $(A, \rho) \equiv_{\phi,\varepsilon} (A', \rho')$ is determined on finite sets.

Lemma 3.2. *Let $A, A' \subseteq \mathbb{N}$ be two finite subsets such that $A \equiv_\phi A'$. Then there exists a finite subset $C \subseteq F_A$ such that for any norm ρ on F_A and norm ρ' on $F_{A'}$, if for every $a \in C$ we have $|\rho(a) - \rho'(\bar{\phi}(a))| < \varepsilon$, then $|\rho(f) - \rho'(\bar{\phi}(f))| < \varepsilon \cdot |f|$ for every $f \in F_A$; i.e. $(A, \rho) \equiv_{\phi,\varepsilon} (A', \rho')$.*

Proof. We proved the lemma in case of free abelian groups in [3] (Proposition 3.2). Precisely, we prove the lemma for the case when G is the free abelian group with countably many generators and $(d_n)_n$ is an enumeration of these free generators. That says, that for two finitely generated free abelian groups F, F' with free generators $f_1, \dots, f_n$, resp. $f'_1, \dots, f'_n$, and an isomorphism $\phi_F : F \to F'$ determined by sending f_i to f'_i, for $i \leq n$, there is some finite subset $C_F \subseteq F$ such that for any two norms χ, resp. χ', on F, resp. on F', if $|\chi(a) - \chi'(\phi_F(a))| < \varepsilon$, then $|\chi(x) - \chi'(\phi_F(x))| < \varepsilon \cdot |x|_F$, where $|x|_F$ is now the length of x in free generators of F.

We show how the general case follows from the particular one above. Take finite $A, A' \subseteq \mathbb{N}$ as in the statement of the lemma. F_A is finitely generated abelian group, thus it is isomorphic to a sum of a finitely generated free abelian group and a finite abelian group. We may ignore the finite part and assume that F_A is isomorphic to a free abelian group. Indeed, if we find the desired finite subset $C \subseteq F_A$ in such a case, then we might take a sum of such C with the finite group. Thus suppose that F_A is isomorphic to a finitely generated free abelian group, and choose free generators $f_1, \dots, f_n$ of F_A. It is a well-known and easy to observe that the length function $|\cdot|_A$ and the length function $|\cdot|_F$ obtained with respect to the free generators $f_1, \dots, f_n$ are bi-Lipschitz equivalent. In particular, there is some K such that $|\cdot| \leq K \cdot |\cdot|_F$. Thus

we may apply the result for free abelian groups with free generators with ε/K to obtain the result for F_A with generators $\{d_a : a \in A\}$. $\square$

The following is the main theorem of this section and the whole paper.

Theorem 3.3. *Let G be an infinitely-summed group. Then G admits a generic norm λ.*

From now on, fix an infinitely-summed group G, the enumerated set of generators $D = \{d_n : n \in \mathbb{N}\}$ as above, and the set of bijections Φ as above.

Let $\mathcal{G} \subseteq \mathcal{N}$ denote the set of all norms λ on G satisfying the following condition:

$$\begin{aligned}(3.1)\quad &\forall \varepsilon > \varepsilon' > 0, \forall A_0 \subseteq A \subseteq G \text{ finite such that } F_A \text{ is equipped with} \\ &\text{a norm } \rho_A, \text{ and } (A_0, \rho_A) \equiv_{\mathrm{id},\varepsilon} (A_0, \lambda) \exists A' \subseteq \mathbb{N}, \exists \phi \in \Phi \text{ such that} \\ &(A, \rho_A) \equiv_{\phi,\varepsilon'} (A', \lambda) \text{ and } \delta_\phi(A_0, \phi[A_0]) < \varepsilon.\end{aligned}$$

In order to prove Theorem 3.3, we shall prove that $\mathcal{G}$ is dense G_δ and that for any $\lambda, \rho \in \mathcal{G}$ we have $\overline{(G,\lambda)} = \overline{(G,\rho)}$. That will give that G admits a generic norm. Moreover in case G is unbounded, we show that there is $\lambda \in \mathcal{G}$ such that (G, λ) is isometric to the rational Urysohn space. That will complete the proof of Theorem 3.3.

The first step showed in the next lemma is simple.

Lemma 3.4. *$\mathcal{G}$ is G_δ.*

Proof. This follows immediately from the following simple observations. For any ϕ and $\varepsilon > 0$ and finite A, A' and $A_0 \subseteq A$ and a norm ρ on F_A, both

$$(A, \rho) \equiv_{\phi,\varepsilon} (A', \lambda)$$

and

$$\delta_\phi(A_0, \phi[A_0]) < \varepsilon$$

are open conditions, resp. define open neighborhoods of λ. Indeed, that follows from Lemma 3.2 which says that the first condition is determined on a finite subset of F_A.

Then one can check that all the universal quantifiers in (3.1) can be taken over countable sets and one obtains that (3.1) defines a G_δ subset. $\square$

Next we want to show that all the norms from $\mathcal{G}$ give rise to the same normed group after the completion. Note that the condition (3.1) is similar to the condition on vector space norms which give rise to the

Gurarij space, the homogeneous separable Banach space constructed by Gurarij in [5]. The following proposition is thus similar to the main result of [8] where they prove the uniqueness of the Gurarij space.

Proposition 3.5. *For any two $\lambda, \lambda' \in \mathcal{G}$ we have that $\overline{(G,\lambda)}$ and $\overline{(G,\lambda')}$ are isometrically isomorphic.*

Proof. Consider two norms $\lambda, \lambda' \in \mathcal{N}$. Let $(i_j)_j$ be an enumeration of $\mathbb{N}$ with an infinite repetition.

By induction, for every $j \in \mathbb{N}$ we shall construct two finite sequences $(a_i^j)_{i=0}^{2j-1} \subseteq \mathbb{N}$ and $(b_i^j)_{i=0}^{2j} \subseteq \mathbb{N}$ such that

(1) for every $j \in \mathbb{N}$, $i_j \in \{a_i^j : i \leq 2j-1\} \cap \{b_i^j : i \leq 2j\}$, i.e. there are i, i' such that $i_j = a_i^j = b_{i'}^j$;
(2) for every $j \in \mathbb{N}$ there is some $\phi_j \in \Phi$ such that $\phi_j(a_i^j) = b_i^j$, for every $i \leq 2j-1$, and
$$((a_i^j)_{i=0}^{2j-1}, \lambda) \equiv_{\phi_j, 1/2^{2j-1}} ((b_i)_{i=0}^{2j-1}, \lambda')$$
and $\psi_j \in \Phi$ such that $\psi_j(b_i^j) = a_i^{j+1}$, for every $i \leq 2j$, and
$$((b_i^j)_{i=0}^{2j}, \lambda') \equiv_{\psi_j, 1/2^{2j}} ((a_i^{j+1})_{i=0}^{2j}, \lambda);$$
(3) for every $j \in \mathbb{N}$ we have
$$\delta^{\lambda}_{\psi_j \circ \phi_j}((a_i^j)_{i=0}^{2j-1}, (a_i^{j+1})_{i=0}^{2j-1}) < 1/2^{2j-1}$$
and
$$\delta^{\lambda'}_{\phi_{j+1} \circ \psi_j}((b_i^j)_{i=0}^{2j+1}, (b_i^{j+1})_{i=0}^{2j+1}) < 1/2^{2j}.$$

Note that in particular for every $j \in \mathbb{N}$ we have

$$a_i^{j+1} = \psi_j \circ \phi_j(a_i^j), \forall i \leq 2j-1, \qquad b_i^{j+1} = \phi_{j+1} \circ \psi_j(b_i^j), \forall i \leq 2j. \tag{3.2}$$

Suppose at first that such sequences have been constructed. Denote by $\mathbb{G}$ the completion of (G,λ) and by $\mathbb{G}'$ the completion of (G,λ'). By (3), for each $i \in \mathbb{N}$ we have that the sequence $(g_i^j)_j$, where $g_i^j = d_{a_i^j}$ for all i, j, is Cauchy in (G,λ), thus it has the limit, denoted by g_i, in $\mathbb{G}$. Analogously by (3), for each $i \in \mathbb{N}$ the sequence $(h_i^j)_j$, where $h_i^j = d_{b_i^j}$ for all i, j, is Cauchy in (G,λ') and we denote by h_i the limit in $\mathbb{G}'$. We claim that $\langle (g_i)_i \rangle$ is a dense subgroup in $\mathbb{G}$ and $\langle (h_i)_i \rangle$ is a dense subgroup in $\mathbb{G}'$. We prove the former, the latter is analogous. Since G is dense in $\mathbb{G}$ it suffices to show that for any $g \in G$ and any $\varepsilon > 0$ there

exists $g' \in \langle (g_i)_i \rangle$ such that $\lambda(g' - g) < \varepsilon$. Take some finite $C \subseteq \mathbb{N}$ such that $g \in F_C$ and let $k = |g|_C$. There exists N such that for every $j \geq N$ and $i \leq 2j - 1$ we have

$$\lambda(g_i^j - g_i) < \varepsilon/k.$$

Also, for each $c \in C$ we can find i_c and $j_c \geq N$ such that $g_{i_c}^{j_c} = d_c$. Since $|g|_C = k$, $\lambda(g_{i_c}^{j_c} - g_{i_c}) < \varepsilon/k$, for every $c \in C$, it follows there is an element $g' \in \langle g_{i_c} : c \in C \rangle$ such that $\lambda(g' - g) < \varepsilon$, and the claim is proved.

Next we claim that the map sending g_i to h_i, for each $i \in \mathbb{N}$, can be extended to an isometric isomorphism $\Psi : \langle g_i : i \in \mathbb{N} \rangle \to \langle h_i : i \in \mathbb{N} \rangle$. Indeed, let F_g be the free abelian group generated by $(g_i)_i$ and F_h be the free abelian group generated by $(h_i)_i$. We equip F_g, resp. F_h, with λ, resp. λ', which may now be considered as seminorms; i.e. the kernel of the canonical projection from F_g onto $\langle g_i : i \in \mathbb{N} \rangle_{\mathbb{G}}$ is equal to the set of zero elements of λ considered as a seminorm on F_g. Analogously for F_h. By abusing the notation, denote also by Ψ the canonical isomorphism between F_g and F_h. It suffices to check that for any $g \in F_g$ we have

$$\lambda(g) = \lambda'(\Psi(g)).$$

Denote also for every $j \in \mathbb{N}$, by Ψ_j^g the homomorphism from F_g onto $\langle g_i^j : i \leq 2j - 1 \rangle$ which sends g_i to g_i^j, for $i \leq 2j - 1$, and g_i to 0 for $i > 2j - 1$. Analogously, denote by Ψ_j^h the homomorphism from F_h onto $\langle h_i^j : i \leq 2j \rangle$ which sends h_i to h_i^j, for $i \leq 2j$, and h_i to 0 for $i > 2j$.

Then for some $(K_n)_n$ such that $K_n \to 0$

$$\lambda(g) = \lim_j \lambda(\Psi_j^g(g)) = \lim_j \lambda'(\Psi_j^h \circ \Psi(g)) + K_n = \lambda'(\Psi(g)).$$

The first equality follows from the definition, the second from (2), and the last one again from the definition and the fact that $K_n \to 0$.

It follows that we may uniquely extend Ψ to $\mathbb{G}$, which we shall still denote by Ψ and which is an isometric isomorphism between $\mathbb{G}$ and $\mathbb{G}'$.

It remains to find the sequences.

We will proceed by induction. We show the first odd and even step of the induction and then the general odd and even step of the induction.

Set $a_1^1 = i_1$. By (3.1), there exists b_1^1 such that $(\{a_1^1\}, \lambda) \equiv_{\phi_1, 1/2} (\{b_1^1\}, \lambda')$ for some $\phi_1 \in \Phi$.

Now if $b_1^1 = i_1$ then take as b_2^1 an arbitrary natural number; otherwise, take $b_2^1 = i_1$. Again by (3.1), there exist $a_1^2, a_2^2 \in \mathbb{N}$ such that

- $(\{a_1^2, a_2^2\}, \lambda) \equiv_{\phi_2, 1/4} (\{b_1^1, b_2^1\}, \lambda')$, for some $\phi_2 \in \Phi$,

- $\delta_{\phi_2^{-1}\circ\phi_1}(\{a_1^1\},\{a_1^2\}) < 1/2$; in other words, $\lambda(d_{a_1^1} - d_{a_1^2}) < 1/2$.

Now suppose that we have found sequences $(a_i^{n-1})_{i=0}^{2n-3}$ and $(b_i^{n-1})_{i=0}^{2n-2}$. We shall find $(a_i^n)_{i=0}^{2n-1}$ and $(b_i^n)_{i=0}^{2n}$. Since by assumption we have that $((a_i^{n-1})_{i=0}^{2n-3},\lambda) \equiv_{\phi_{n-1},1/2^{2n-3}} ((b_i^n)_{i=0}^{2n},\lambda')$, using (3.1) we can find $(a_i^n)_{i=0}^{2n-2}$ and $\psi_{n-1}\in\Phi$ such that

$$((b_i^{n-1})_{i=0}^{2n-2},\lambda') \equiv_{\psi_{n-1},1/2^{2n-2}} ((a_i^n)_{i=0}^{2n-2},\lambda)$$

and moreover

$$\delta_{\psi_{n-1}\circ\phi_{n-1}}((a_i^{n-1})_{i=0}^{2n-3},(a_i^n)_{i=0}^{2n-3}) < 1/2^{2n-3}.$$

If $i_n \in (a_i^n)_{i=0}^{2n-2}$ then we set a_{2n-1}^n to be any natural number. Otherwise, we set $a_{2n-1}^n = i_n$.

Then analogously, using (3.1), we find $(b_i^n)_{i=0}^{2n-1}$ and $\phi_n\in\Phi$ such that

$$((a_i^n)_{i=0}^{2n-1},\lambda) \equiv_{\phi_n,1/2^{2n-1}} ((b_i^n)_{i=0}^{2n-1},\lambda')$$

and moreover

$$\delta_{\phi_n\circ\psi_{n-1}}((b_i^{n-1})_{i=0}^{2n-2},(b_i^n)_{i=0}^{2n-2}) < 1/2^{2n-2}.$$

Again, if $i_n \in (b_i^n)_{i=0}^{2n-1}$ then we set b_{2n}^n to be any natural number. Otherwise, we set $b_{2n}^n = i_n$. This finishes the induction and the proof. □

To finish the proof of Theorem 3.3 we need to prove that $\mathcal{G}$ is dense. Notice that so far we have not yet even proved that $\mathcal{G}$ is non-empty. The next proposition will do.

Proposition 3.6. *$\mathcal{G}$ is dense.*

Moreover, if G is unbounded, then the subset $\{\lambda\in\mathcal{G} : (G,\lambda) \cong_{\mathrm{iso}} \mathbb{QU}\} \subseteq \mathcal{G}$ is dense.

Proof. Let F be a finite symmetric subset of G containig zero and ρ a partial norm on F. By extending ρ if necessary, we may suppose without loss of generality that for some finite $C\subseteq\mathbb{N}$, we have $\langle F\rangle = F_C$. Then by $\bar{\rho}$ we shall denote the extension of ρ to $\langle F\rangle = F_C$ obtained using Lemma 1.3. We begin with a claim.

Claim 3.7. *Let $C\subseteq\mathbb{N}$ be finite and let ρ be norm on F_C. Then for every $\varepsilon>0$ there exists a* rational *norm ρ_R on F_C such that $(C,\bar{\rho}) \equiv_{\mathrm{id},\varepsilon} (C,\bar{\rho_R})$.*

To prove the claim, we use Lemma 3.2 to find a finite set $F\subseteq F_C$ such that for any two norms ρ_1,ρ_2 on F_C, if $|\rho_1(f)-\rho_2(f)|<\varepsilon$, for every $f\in F$, then $(C,\rho_1)\equiv_{\mathrm{id},\varepsilon}(C,\rho_2)$. We may suppose that F is finite symmetric containing zero. Then we use Lemma 2.2 to find a partial rational norm ρ_R on F such that $|\rho(f)-\rho_R(f)|<\varepsilon$, for every

$f \in F$. Then the extension of ρ_R, still denoted by ρ_R, to the whole F_C, obtained by Lemma 1.3, is the desired rational norm.

Let us denote a norm on some F_C, for C finite, *finitely generated* if it is obtained as an extension using Lemma 1.3 of some partial norm defined on a finite symmetric subset of F_C containing zero. Let us now enumerate all triples $T_n = (B_n, A_n, \rho_n)$, where $B_n \subseteq A_n \subseteq \mathbb{N}$ are finite and ρ_n is a finitely generated rational norm on F_{A_n}. Moreover, suppose there is an infinite repetition of each such a triple in the enumeration.

By induction, we shall construct an increasing sequence of finite sets $(C_n)_n$, i.e. $C_n \subseteq C_m$, for $n < m$, and an increasing sequence of rational norms $(\lambda_n)_n$, i.e. $\lambda_n \subseteq \lambda_m$, for $n < m$, such that

(1) $C_1 = C$ and $\lambda_1 = \rho_R$;
(2) $\bigcup_n C_n = \mathbb{N}$;
(3) for each n, λ_n is a norm on F_{C_n};
(4) for every n, if there is $B'_n \subseteq C_n$ such that

$$(B'_n, \lambda_n) \equiv_{\phi', 1/2^n} (B_n, \rho_n),$$

then there is $A'_n \subseteq C_n$ such that

$$(A_n, \rho_n) \equiv_{\phi, 0} (A'_n, \lambda_n)$$

and

$$\delta_{\phi\circ\phi'}(B'_n, \phi \circ \phi'[B'_n]) < 1/2^n.$$

We shall now proceed to the induction. The first step has been already done, i.e. we set $C_1 = C$ and $\lambda_1 = \rho_R$ as obtained from the claim above.

Let us now describe the general step. Suppose we have produced finite set $C_n \subseteq$ and rational norm λ_n on F_{C_n}. Consider now the triple $T_n = (B_n, A_n, \rho_n)$. Suppose that there are some $B'_n \subseteq C_n$ and $\phi' \in \Phi$ such that $\phi[B'_n] = B_n$ and $(B'_n, \lambda_n) \equiv_{\phi', 1/2^n} (B_n, \rho_n)$. There can be at most finitely many such B'_n's. To simplify the notation and proof, we shall suppose there is just one such $B'_n \subseteq C_n$, and actually $B'_n = B_n$, and thus $\phi' = \mathrm{id}$. If there are more such finite subsets of C_n, the procedure that follows is repeated (finitely many times). If there is no such a finite subset B'_n, then we set $C'_{n+1} = C_n$ and $\lambda'_{n+1} = \lambda_n$ and use the procedure below to extend C'_{n+1} to C_{n+1} and λ'_{n+1} to λ_{n+1}.

Thus we suppose that $B_n \subseteq C_n$ and $(B_n, \lambda_n) \equiv_{\mathrm{id}, 1/2^n} (B_n, \rho_n)$. Since G is infinitely-summed we can find A'_n disjoint with C_n such that there is some $\phi \in \Phi$ which is a bijection between A_n and A'_n. Set $C'_{n+1} =$

$C_n \cup A'_n$. We shall now define a rational norm λ'_{n+1} on $F_{C'_{n+1}}$ which extends λ_n.

For each $c \in B_n$, set h_c to be $\min\{1/2^n, \lambda_n(d_c) + \rho_n(d_c)\}$. For $x \in F_{C_n} \cup F_{A'_n} \cup \{d_c - \bar{\phi}(d_c), \bar{\phi}(d_c) - d_c : c \in B_n\}$ we set

$$\chi(x) = \begin{cases} \lambda_n(x) & \text{if } x \in F_{C_n}, \\ \rho_n(y) & \text{if } x = \bar{\phi}(y), y \in F_{A_n}, \\ h_c & \text{if } x = \varepsilon(d_c - \bar{\phi}(d_c)), \text{ where } c \in B_n, \varepsilon \in \{1, -1\}. \end{cases}$$

We use Fact 1.5 to get a partial norm λ'_{n+1} on $F_{C_n} \cup F_{A'_n} \cup \{d_c - \bar{\phi}(d_c), \bar{\phi}(d_c) - d_c : c \in B_n\}$. Note that for each $c \in B_n$ we have $\lambda'_{n+1}(d_c - \bar{\phi}(d_c)) \leq 1/2^n$. We need to check that for each $x \in F_{C_n} \cup F_{A'_n}$ we have $\lambda'_{n+1}(x) = \chi(x)$. Then we could use Lemma 1.3 again to extend λ'_{n+1} to $F_{C'_{n+1}}$ still denoted by λ'_{n+1}. It will follow that $(A_n, \rho_n) \equiv_{\phi,0} (A'_n, \lambda'_{n+1})$ and that $\delta_\phi(B_n, \phi[B_n]) \leq 1/2^n$.

We need to check that for any $x_1, \ldots, x_k \in F'_{n+1}$ such that $x = \sum_{i=1}^k x_i \in F'_{n+1}$ we have $\chi(x) \leq \sum_{i=1}^k \chi(x_i)$.

We have two cases: $x \in F_{C_n}$ and $x \in F_{A'_n}$. We shall treat only the first one, the second one is analogous.

Case 1: Suppose that $x \in F_{C_n}$. Since G is abelian we may suppose that there are $k_1, k_2 \leq k$ such that for every $1 \leq i \leq k_1$ we have $x_i \in F_{C_n}$, for every $k_1 < i \leq k_2$ we have $x_i \in F_{A'_n}$ and for every $k_2 < i \leq k$ we have $x_i \in \{d_c - \bar{\phi}(d_c), \bar{\phi}(d_c) - d_c : c \in B_n\}$. Moreover, for every $k_2 < i \leq k$ we may suppose that $\chi(x_i) = 1/2^n$. Otherwise, $\chi(x_i) = \lambda_n(d_c) + \rho_n(d_c)$, for some $c \in B_n$, i.e. x_i is equal to $d_c - \bar{\phi}(d_c)$ or $\bar{\phi}(d_c) - d_c$. In that case we would replace x_i by a pair d_c, $-\bar{\phi}(d_c)$, resp. $\bar{\phi}(d_c)$, $-d_c$ without increasing the sum $\sum_{i=1}^k \chi(x_i)$. Set $z_1 = \sum_{i=1}^{k_1} x_i$, $z_2 = \sum_{i=k_1+1}^{k_2} x_i$ and $z_3 = \sum_{i=k_2+1}^{k} x_i$. Since $x = z_1 + z_2 + z_3$, it follows that $z_3 = -z_2 + \bar{\phi}^{-1}(z_2)$. Since $(B_n, \lambda_n) \equiv_{\mathrm{id},1/2^n} (B_n, \rho_n)$ we get that $z_2 \in F_{B_n}$ and $|\lambda_n(z_2) - \rho_n(z_2)| < |z_2|/2^n$. Since

$$\sum_{i=k_2+1}^{k} \chi(x_i) = |z_2|/2^n,$$

we get that

$$\lambda_n(z_1) + \rho_n(z_2) + |z_2|/2^n \geq \lambda_n(x)$$

and we are done.

Finally, set $C_{n+1} = C'_{n+1} \cup \{n\}$ and extend λ'_{n+1} to λ_{n+1} on $F_{C_{n+1}}$ arbitrarily.

When the induction is finished we get $\lambda = \bigcup_n \lambda_n$ is a norm on G. We check that $\lambda \in \mathcal{G}$. Take any $\varepsilon > \varepsilon' > 0$, finite subsets $A_0 \subseteq A \subseteq \mathbb{N}$. Let ρ be some norm on F_A such that $(A_0, \rho) \equiv_{\mathrm{id},\varepsilon} (A_0, \lambda)$. Using Claim 3.7 we can find a rational norm ρ_R on F_A such that still $(A, \rho) \equiv_{\mathrm{id},\varepsilon'} (A, \rho_R)$ and also $(A_0, \rho_R) \equiv_{\mathrm{id},\varepsilon} (A_0, \lambda)$. Moreover, thanks to Lemma 3.2 we may suppose that ρ_R is finitely generated. Then by the construction, we can find n such that $A \subseteq C_n$ and $T_n = (A_0, A, \rho_R)$, where $1/2^n < \varepsilon$. By the inductive construction, there is some ϕ such that $A \equiv_\phi \phi[A]$, $\phi[A] \subseteq C_{n+1}$ and

$$(A, \rho) \equiv_{\phi,\varepsilon'} (\phi[D], \lambda)$$

and

$$\delta_\phi(A, \phi[A) < 1/2^n < \varepsilon,$$

and we are done.

Also, since at the beginning the finite symmetric subset F and a partial norm ρ on F were arbitrary, it shows that $\mathcal{G}$ is dense.

Finally, we show how to get the "moreover" part from the statement of the proposition. If G is unbounded then we combine the two induction procedures from this proof and the proof of Theorem 2.3 into one. Besides the enumeration of triples $(T_n)_n$ as above, consider also the enumeration $\{(A_i, f_i) : i \in \mathbb{N}\}$ (again with infinite repetition) of all pairs (A, f), where A is a finite rational metric space and $f : A \to \mathbb{Q}$ a rational Katětov function over A. Then we divide the induction procedure into odd and even steps. During odd steps, we take care of triples $(T_n)_n$ as above. During even steps, we take care of pairs $(A_n, f_n)_n$ as in the proof of Theorem 2.3. It follows that after the induction we get a norm $\lambda \in \mathcal{G}$ such that (G, λ) is isometric to the rational Urysohn space. □

Corollary 3.8. *Let G be an unbounded infinitely-summed countable Abelian group. There exists an Abelian Polish metric group $\mathbb{G}$ which is extremely amenable and isometric to the Urysohn space such that for comeager-many norms λ on G we have*

$$\mathbb{G} = \overline{(G, \lambda)}.$$

In particular, for every such G there is a norm λ such that (G, λ) is extremely amenable and isometric to the rational Urysohn space.

Remark 3.9. Although the results above show that for every infinitely-summed countable Abelian group G there is the corresponding Abelian Polish metric group $\mathbb{G}$, one might ask whether there is actually *a single* generic Abelian Polish metric group $\mathbb{H}$. That is, whether for every

infinitely-summed countable Abelian group G and a generic metric ρ on G, we have $\overline{(G,\rho)} = \mathbb{H}$.

The answer to that question is negative. We shall see in the next section that the Shkarin universal group and the group of the author (from [3]) are two different generic Abelian Polish groups (their direct sum is another different example).

3.1. **Extremely amenable universal abelian groups.** In this section, we observe that the known universal abelian Polish groups are extremely amenable, and provide another proof that they are, with their norm, isometric to the Urysohn space. More precisely, we formulate a certain extension property of these groups (analogous to the extension property of the Gurarij Banach space), show that this extension property is a dense G_δ property, and then use our results and results of Melleray and Tsankov to show that the extension property defines these groups uniquely up to isometric isomorphism and that they are extremely amenable and isometric to the Urysohn space.

Since these groups are constructed using Fraïssé theoretic methods, we shall assume here that the reader has a basic knowledge of this area. We refer the reader to Chapter 7 in [6] as a reference to the Fraïssé theory.

The first example of a universal abelian Polish group, in the sense that every abelian Polish group, or every second-countable abelian Hausdorff group, embeds via topological isomorphism as a subgroup, was provided by Shkarin in [13]. This group was further investigated by Niemiec in [10]. The group was constructed as a normed group, however it is universal only in the topological sense. It does not contain *isometrically* as a subgroup every separable abelian normed group. A normed group universal in this stronger sense was constructed by the author in [3].

Let us describe how these universal groups were constructed. The Shkarin's group, further denoted by $\mathbb{G}_S$, is constructed as follows: First one considers the class of all finite groups equipped with rational-valued norms. Then one can check that this class is a Fraïssé class, thus it has a Fraïssé limit. It is straightforward to check that the limit is a countable abelian group, denoted by G_S, which is algebraically isomorphic to $\bigoplus_{\mathbb{N}} \mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z}$, equipped with a rational norm λ_S. In particular, G_S is an infinitely-summed unbounded group. Then one takes the completion $\overline{(G_S,\lambda_S)}$ to obtain the group $\mathbb{G}_S$.

In the sequel, we shall use the following notation. For two finite groups G, H which are isomorphic via some ϕ, and which are equipped with norms λ_G and λ_H respectively, and for some $\varepsilon > 0$ we shall write

$$(G, \lambda_G) \equiv_{\phi,\varepsilon} (H, \lambda_H)$$

to express that they are ε-isomorphic via ϕ, i.e. for every $g \in G$ we have $|\lambda_G(g) - \lambda_H(\phi(g))| < \varepsilon$.

Consider now the following set $\mathbb{S}$ of norms λ on $G_S = \bigoplus_{\mathbb{N}} \mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z}$, i.e. a subset of $\mathcal{N}_{G_S}$:

$$\begin{aligned} (3.3)\quad &\forall \varepsilon > 0 \forall G_0 \leq G_1 \leq G_S \text{ finite}, \forall \rho \text{ a rational norm on } G_1 \\ &(G_0, \rho) \equiv_{\mathrm{id},\varepsilon} (G_0, \lambda) \text{ then } \exists G_0 \leq G_1' \leq G_S \text{ isomorphic to } G_1 \\ &\text{via some } \phi \text{ such that } (G_1', \lambda) \equiv_{\phi,\varepsilon} (G_1, \rho). \end{aligned}$$

First, it is clear, by the definition of a Fraïssé limit, that $\lambda_S \in \mathbb{S}$; moreover, that the set $\mathbb{S}$ is dense. Secondly, one can easily check that (3.3) define a G_δ subset of $\mathcal{N}_{G_S}$. Thus $\mathbb{S}$ is a dense G_δ set.

It also follows from the proofs of Shkarin and Niemiec that for any $\lambda \in \mathbb{S}$, the group $\overline{(G_S, \lambda)}$ is also universal replicating the approximation arguments for λ_S. It is analogous to the case of metrics on a countable set satisfying (2.1) from the proof of Corollary 2.4. Then applying Theorem 3.3, Corollary 2.1 and Theorem 1.1 of Melleray and Tsankov we get the following corollary.

Corollary 3.10. *There exists a generic norm λ on $G_S = \bigoplus_{\mathbb{N}} \mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z}$ such that $\overline{(G_S, \lambda)}$ is the Shkarin's group, which is thus extremely amenable and isometric to the Urysohn space.*

Analogous arguments give the following corollary.

Corollary 3.11. *There exists a generic norm λ on the infinitely generated free abelian group G such that $\overline{(G, \lambda)}$ is the metrically universal abelian group from* [3]*, which is thus also extremely amenable and isometric to the Urysohn space.*

These two universal groups thus also provide examples of two generic, yet not isometrically isomorphic, abelian Polish metric groups.

4. Problems

In our opinion, the most interesting and challenging problem is to investigate similar properties of the spaces of metrics on non-abelian countable groups. There one can distinguish two cases, which coincide

in the case of abelian groups: the space of all *continuous* left-invariant metrics (we comment on the 'continuity' below) and the space of bi-invariant metrics. In the case of bi-invariant metrics, one can again easily check that for any countable group G, the set of all bi-invariant metrics, is a closed subset $\mathbb{R}^{G\times G}$, thus a Polish space.

We proved the following result in [4].

Theorem 4.1. *There exists a generic (in the space of metrics bounded by* 1*) bi-invariant metric, bounded by* 1*, d on F_∞, the free group of countably many generators, such that $\overline{(F_\infty, d)}$ is isometric to the Urysohn sphere and metrically universal for the class of separable groups with bi-invariant metrics bounded by* 1*.*

We do not know whether for some countable non-abelian groups the subset of bi-invariant metrics with which these groups are extremely amenable is comeager; i.e. we do not know whether it is possible to generalize the Melleray and Tsankov's result to the non-abelian situation. We also do not know about generic bi-invariant metrics on non-abelian groups different from free groups.

Regarding the general left-invariant metrics, first thing to observe is that while bi-invariant metrics make the group operations continuous, in fact Lispchitz, this is no longer true for general left-invariant metrics. The corresponding general norms on groups (that do not necessarily make the group topological) were considered in the literature, see e.g. [1]. However, in most cases it is reasonable to consider only such metrics that do make the group operations continuous. For a group G and a left-invariant metric d on G, the group operations are continuous if and only if for every $g \in G$ and every $\varepsilon > 0$ there is $\delta > 0$ such that for every $h \in G$, if $\lambda_d(h) < \delta$, then $\lambda_d(g^{-1} \cdot g \cdot h) < \varepsilon$, where λ_d is the corresponding norm. Let us call such norms and metrics continuous.

The main problem is that we do not know how to code the continuous norms and metrics on a non-abelian group as a Polish space. Indeed, the straightforward computation gives that they form an $F_{\sigma\delta}$ subset of $\mathbb{R}^G$ ($\mathbb{R}^{G^2}$), thus not necessarily a space with Polish topology. Even some special subsets of continuous norms such as uniformly discrete norms seem not to be Polish, but rather F_σ subsets of $\mathbb{R}^G$. A special subclass of uniformly discrete norms, often considered in geometric group theory, that is the class of all proper norms again seems to be $F_{\sigma\delta}$.

However, we do not exclude the possibility that a better computation reveals that these are Polish spaces - in a natural way.

References

[1] N. H. Bingham, A. J. Ostaszewski, *Normed versus topological groups: dichotomy and duality*, Dissertationes Math. (Rozprawy Mat.) 472 (2010)

[2] P.J. Cameron, A.M. Vershik, *Some isometry groups of the Urysohn space*, Ann. Pure Appl. Logic 143 (2006), no. 1-3, 70-78

[3] M. Doucha, *Metrically universal abelian groups*, arXiv:1312.7683 [math.GN], 2014

[4] M. Doucha, *Metrical universality for groups*, to appear in Forum Math., DOI: 10.1515/forum-2015-0181

[5] V.I. Gurarij, *Spaces of universal placement, isotropic spaces and a problem of Mazur on rotations of Banach spaces*, Sibirsk. Mat. Zh. 7 (1966) 1002–1013 (in Russian)

[6] W. Hodges, *Model theory. Encyclopedia of Mathematics and its Applications, 42*, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1993

[7] A. Kechris, *Classical descriptive set theory*, Graduate Texts in Mathematics, 156. Springer-Verlag, New York, 1995

[8] W. Kubiś, S. Solecki, *A proof of uniqueness of the Gurarii space*, Israel J. Math. 195 (2013), no. 1, 449–456

[9] J. Melleray, T. Tsankov, *Generic representations of abelian groups and extreme amenability*, Israel J. Math. 198 (2013), no. 1, 129–167

[10] P. Niemiec, *Universal valued Abelian groups*, Adv. Math. 235 (2013), 398-449

[11] P. Niemiec, *Urysohn universal spaces as metric groups of exponent 2*, Fund. Math. 204 (2009), no. 1, 1-6

[12] V. Pestov, *Dynamics of infinite-dimensional groups.* The Ramsey-Dvoretzky-Milman phenomenon. University Lecture Series, 40. American Mathematical Society, Providence, RI, 2006

[13] S. Shkarin, *On universal abelian topological groups*, Mat. Sb. 190 (1999), no. 7, 127-144

[14] P. S. Urysohn, *Sur un espace métrique universel*, Bull. Sci. Math. 51 (1927), 43-64, 74-96

Laboratoire de Mathématiques de Besançon, Université de Franche-Comté, France

E-mail address: m.doucha@post.cz